

Open Response and Reengagement

6.1 Overview 6.2 Open Response and Reengagement Lessons 6.2.1 Preparing for Day 1

6.2.2 Day 1: Open Response

- 6.2.3 Getting Ready for Day 2
- 6.2.4 Day 2: Reengagement
- 6.2.5 Evaluating Student Performance
- 6.3 Open Response Assessments

References

Contents

6.1 Overview

Copyright \otimes McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

Open response problems are tasks that have multiple solution paths and often more than one solution. They offer students rich opportunities to solve engaging problems using their own strategies and reasoning.

Research conducted by the Mathematics Assessment Collaborative has demonstrated that the use of complex open response problems "significantly enhances student achievement both on state standardized multiple choice achievement tests and on more complex performance based assessments" (Paek & Foster, 2012, p. 11). Learning through problem solving has been at the center of *Everyday Mathematics* beginning with the first edition. This edition of *Everyday Mathematics* builds on this tradition by featuring challenging problems in Open Response and Reengagement lessons and the inclusion of open response problems in assessments.

Open Response and Reengagement lessons are two-day lessons that include a day for students to solve a challenging problem, followed by a day for students to analyze and learn from the solutions of others and then revise their own work from the first day. Except for the first section of Kindergarten, there is one Open Response and Reengagement lesson in each unit or section of Grades K–6 *Everyday Mathematics.* In Kindergarten, students are introduced to the process of analyzing and learning from others' work, but they are not expected to revise their initial responses.

Open Response Assessments appear in Progress Check lessons in oddnumbered units in Grades 1–6. The tasks in the Open Response Assessments are similar to those in Open Response and Reengagement lessons, but they do not include a second day of student reengagement with the problem. For information on SMPs and GMPs in *Everyday Mathematics*, see Section 1.2 *Standards for Mathematical Practice*.

Note Outline of Open Response and Reengagement lessons in Grades 1–6

Day 1 Open Response

- Day 1 Lesson Opener 1 Warm-Up Mental Math and Fluency Daily Routines (Grades 1–2) 2a Focus Math Message
- Math Message Follow-Up Solving the Open Response Problem Getting Ready for Day 2

Day 2 Reengagement

- Day 2 Lesson Opener and Rubric 2b Focus Setting Expectations Reengaging in the Problem Revising Work Assessment Check-In
- 3 Practice Math Boxes Home Link

6.2 Open Response and Reengagement Lessons

Open Response and Reengagement lessons provide students with the following opportunities:

- To solve complex problems. Through the open response problems, all students have multiple opportunities to persevere with challenging tasks, communicate their thinking, and learn from the mathematical thinking of others. These problems differ from exercises, which often provide practice for previously learned skills and are typically found in practice activities and games. Open response problems offer opportunities for students to learn new concepts through the problem solving process.
- **To promote explicit attention to the mathematical practices.** The Open Response and Reengagement lessons systematically address the Common Core's Standards for Mathematical Practices (SMP) by highlighting a different mathematical practice in each Open Response and Reengagement lesson within each grade level. For each lesson, three to four *Everyday Mathematics* Goals for Mathematical Practice (GMP) are emphasized. One of these targeted GMPs is the focus for class discussion during reengagement and for assessment. To highlight the GMPs that support development of problem-solving skills, the targeted GMPs in each lesson in Grades K–5 include one of the six GMPs within SMP1.
- To differentiate instruction through challenging mathematical tasks. Because the open response problems can be solved with multiple strategies and tools, they are accessible to diverse learners. Using an analysis of class performance on the task as an instructional guide, teachers can address students' needs during reengagement. In the reengagement discussion, students make sense of others' thinking by interpreting strengths, weaknesses, or misconceptions in their peers' solutions. Following the discussion, students apply these new understandings to revise their work. This approach provides struggling students with new opportunities to access the mathematics, while creating an expanded, high cognitive demand task for students who performed well on the original problem as they interpret the mathematical thinking of others.

Teachers can approach Open Response and Reengagement lessons in three parts. First, on Day 1 teachers introduce the problem, encourage students to persevere in solving it, and observe students' use of the mathematical practices. Second, teachers examine students' responses from Day 1 and identify sample work that can be used to focus student attention on the content and practices addressed in the lesson. Teachers then use the chosen work samples to develop discussion questions that highlight use of the focus mathematical practice as well as misconceptions in need of attention. Third, on Day 2 teachers use the work samples and associated questions to facilitate a reengagement discussion. This discussion provides an extended opportunity for students to think through the mathematics of the problem, see how other students engage in the practices, and develop ideas for revising their work.

6.2.1 Preparing for Day 1

The Before You Begin section of the Lesson Opener often recommends that teachers solve the open response problem themselves prior to the lesson. While working through the problem, teachers should consider the following:

- strategies students are likely to use (both correct and incorrect);
- mathematical misconceptions that may affect students' work;
- questions to support students' perseverance as they work through the problem; and
- ways to highlight the focus GMP that the problem addresses.



Open Response and Reengagement Lesson Opener, Grade 4 Lesson 2-6

Copyright \otimes McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

Note Outline of Open Response and Reengagement lessons in Kindergarten

Day 1 Open Response

Day 1 Lesson Opener Daily Routines Core Activities Focus: Solving the Open Response Problem Getting Ready for Day 2

Day 2 Reengagement

Day 2 Lesson Opener Core Activities Focus: Reengaging in the Problem Practice Home Link

See the Getting Started Videos, accessible from the Main Menu in the Teacher Center.



Lesson Overview screen, Grade 4, Lesson 2-6

Other features of Open Response and Reengagement lessons that are helpful in preparing for Day 1 include the following:

- Lesson Openers for Day 1 and Day 2 give information for preparation that should be done before the lesson and identify the content and mathematical practices addressed in the lesson.
- A **professional development note** provides mathematical background on the focus GMP.
- An **evaluation rubric** helps teachers evaluate student work and make appropriate connections between the problem and the focus GMP.
- **Samples of student work** in the *Teacher's Lesson Guide* and online help teachers anticipate student performance on the problem and provide examples of work that might be used in the reengagement discussion.

Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

For more information and the location of the rubrics, see Section 6.2.3 *Getting Ready for Day 2.*

6.2.2 Day 1: Open Response

The Focus section of Day 1 of the Open Response and Reengagement lessons consists of three parts: Math Message, Math Message Follow-Up, and Solving the Open Response Problem.

Focus 45-55 min Goonline R Eta	else (i.e., count blocks directly or trade) to determine the number represented.
Math Message	SMP2 in the Implementation Guide.
Math Journal 1, p. 76	
Complete journal page 76. You may use base-10 blocks to help you. GMP2.2	
Drawing Base-10 Blocks for Numbers	Math Journal 1, p. 76
Math Journal 1, p. 76	Lessen 4.6
WHOLE CLASS SMALL GROUP PARTNER INDEPENDENT	Representing Numbers
Math Message Follow-Up Ask partners to compare their drawings.	Solve the problems. Use T to show a flat. to show a long, and • to show a cube.
Before discussing children's ideas as a class, review the name of each of	Use base-10 blocks to show the number 23. Draw your blocks below.
the base-10 blocks (cube , long , and flat), the number each represents, and the appropriate shorthand drawing for each. Remind children that to represent a number with base-10 blocks is to show the number with	н
the blocks. Ask children to describe or display their representations using both blocks and base-10 shorthand. Discuss the similarities and differences in the numbers and their base-10 representations. <u>GMP2.3</u>	We base-10 blocks to show the number 230. Draw your blocks below.
	Use base-10 blocks to show the number 203. Draw your blocks below.
	76 severty-six 2.NBT.1, 2.NBT.3, SMP2
	Lesson 4-6

Math Message and Math Message Follow-Up, Grade 2 Lesson 4-6

Math Message GRADE LEVEL K 1 2 3 4 5 6

The Math Message is an entry point for teaching the lesson that provides an opportunity for students to work with the content and practices addressed in the open response problem. Student performance on the Math Message provides useful information that teachers can use to determine the amount and type of scaffolding that will be needed to prepare students for the open response problem.

Math Message Follow-Up GRADE LEVEL K 1 2 3 4 5 6

The Math Message Follow-Up actively engages students in a discussion of the Math Message. This discussion provides an opportunity for students to recall their understanding of the content or practices that they will use to solve the open response problem and allows teachers to address any misconceptions or weaknesses they observed. This discussion also helps students practice talking about problem-solving strategies and solutions, which they will do again during Day 2 of the lesson.

Solving the Open Response Problem

Each Open Response and Reengagement lesson provides suggestions for introducing the problem, information on the mathematical concepts and context of the problem, prompts to focus students on the target content and practices, and suggestions for tools to make available to students for solving the problem. Because Open Response and Reengagement lessons do not include Differentiation Options pages, these lessons include English Language Learner notes that offer suggestions for introducing the vocabulary in the problem and Adjusting the Activity notes that provide information on how to **Note** In Kindergarten, a Warm Up serves a similar purpose as the Math Message and Math Message Follow-Up. help struggling students begin solving the problem and, when appropriate, how to challenge students by extending the problem. Teachers should take care not to "over-teach" this part of the lesson, since students need the chance to struggle a bit, make mistakes, and solve the problem in a way that makes sense to them. See example from the Grade 2 *Teacher's Lesson Guide* on the following page.

The Open Response Problems are available in print as *Math Masters* or digitally in the Student Learning Center. Since the digital problems are often displayed on multiple screens, it may be preferable for students to work on print copies of the *Math Masters* pages. This will allow for easy viewing of all the problems simultaneously, and allow students room to both show their work and explain their thinking fully.

Before students begin the open response problem, teachers should read through the problem with students and make sure they understand what is expected and what tools and manipulatives are available. Once students have started working, teachers can use discussion prompts to monitor student progress and to clarify the problem when necessary. If students are having difficulty, the teacher should ask them to explain what they are doing and why in order to understand their thinking about the problem. Teachers, however, should try to minimize how much they intervene. Allowing students to use their own strategies and tools will produce better learning in the long run and a more robust and informative range of responses on the problem.



Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use

Students click on the Activity Tile "Solve the Problem and Revise" to access the screen for the Open Response problem on Day 1. Students will open the same tile on Day 2 to revise their work. Students should be encouraged to work in partnerships and small groups, but students should record their own solutions. The resulting diversity in student work provides an essential element in planning the reengagement discussion. Student performance on challenging open response problems is "a gold mine of information that can drive significant learning for teachers as well as students" (Foster & Poppers, 2009, p. 8).



Solving the Open Response Problem section, Grade 2 Lesson 4-6 with open response problem from *Math Masters*, Grade 2 Lesson 4-6

Copyright \otimes McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

Note Teachers have found that it can be helpful to take time to plan reengagement discussions with other grade-level teachers. Discussing student work with colleagues broadens the discussion, so that teachers can see how students solved the problem in several different classrooms and share ideas about which pieces of student work to use in the reengagement discussions. Together, colleagues can identify trends in students' work, share ideas about how to address misconceptions, capitalize on unique strategies and solutions, and find ways to help students learn about the mathematical practices.

6.2.3 Getting Ready for Day 2

Using the "gold mine" of student work to guide instruction is the central idea of reengagement. This section suggests strategies for using student work in planning and implementing a reengagement discussion.



Getting Ready for Day 2, Grade 2 Lesson 4-6

Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use

Using the Task-Specific Rubric

An essential first step in planning an effective and engaging reengagement discussion is to evaluate class performance on the problem. Teachers can use the rubric provided in the Lesson Opener for Day 2 in the *Teacher's Lesson Guide* to guide them in evaluating student work. In the Teacher Center, the rubric appears in the Assessment Check-In box. Look for the yellow tile, accessible through the Activities view. All rubrics were developed from an analysis of student work collected during field testing. The rubrics are task-specific so teachers can anticipate the range of student performance and analyze the responses based on the lesson's focus GMP.

Goal for	Not Meeting	Partially Meeting	Meeting	Exceeding
Mathematical	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Practice GMP7.2 Use structures to solve problems and answer questions.	Produces some combinations of 10, but many may be missing. Provides no evidence of using a pattern to find all the combinations. The table is not organized, and the child cannot describe a strategy.	Produces many combinations of 10 and makes some attempt to find combinations that are missing. However, any pattern in the table is inconsistent or unclear, and the child cannot fully describe or apply a strategy to find all the combinations.	Writes a complete or nearly complete set of combinations of 10. Provides oral or written evidence of using a pattern. For example, writes the combinations in numerical order or describes checking to see if all combinations using the turn-around rule are present.	Writes a complete set of combinations of 10. Produces a table with a clear method of organization and describes a strategy for finding all the combinations. May also describe an additional method for checking that all combinations are present.

Task-specific rubric used to evaluate student work in preparation for Day 2, Grade 1 Lesson 2-5

							-
Lesson 2-6 (Day 2): Focus: Re tudents revise their predictions and arguments b scussion.	ased on v	g Work what they learned in the ree	engagement			< Activities	
Materials PRINT Vath Masters: pp. 65–66 (optional)	*	Pass back students' wo decide how to improve t give a thumbs-up or thu	rk from Day 1. Before si them. Ask the following mbs-down based on th	tudents revise anything questions one at a tim eir own work.	g, ask them to examine e. Have partners discus	their responses and as their responses an	d
colored pencils (optional) students' work from Day 1		 Did you predict, o Did you make a cl 	r make a conjecture, the lear argument using wor	at Big is 9 paper clips t rds or drawings that fu	tall? Ily support your conjectu	ure? GMP3.1, GMP7	.2
Uploaded Resources	*	Iell students they now h or to use another sheet o	ave a chance to revise of paper, instead of eras	their work. Tell them to sing their original work	add to their earlier wor	k using colored pend	115
Solve the Pro		Have students who mx dog in the picture, who clips Ask students to make table is provided below Ask: What is the rule for Ask: What is the rule for of treats plus half the r 6; 6 + 3 = 9.	ade satisfactory argume o was 4 dog treats tall. <i>i</i> a table of the three dog v. Dog's Name Little Middle Big bor this table? How do yo number of treats gives to	Ints complete an addit Ask: What would Midd Is' heights in dog treat Height in Treats 2 4 4 6 bu know? GMP3.1, GM	ional problem. Tell them le's height be in paper of s (input) and paper clips (input) and paper clips 3 6 6 9 AP7.2 Sample answer: T s. It works in all three bo	about Middle, anoth lips? GMP7.2 6 paper (output). A sample (output).	e ar
DCUS		Assessment Che Collect and review stur For the content standa paper clips for Big's he Goal for Mathematical	ck-In dents' revised work. Ex rd, expect most studen alght. You can use the n Not Meeting Expectations	pect them to improve the first ouse multiplicative ubric on page 156 to expertisely Meeting Expectations	their arguments based o e reasoning to make a co valuate students' revise Meeting Expectations	on the class discussion orrect prediction of 9 ad work for GMP3.1. Exceeding Expectations	or
dictions and arguments ed on what they learned		Practice GMP3.1 Make mathematical conjectures and arguments.	Provides an argument in Problem 3 that is • inconsistent with the	Provides an argument in Problem 3 that is consistent with the conjecture in Problem 2 and	Provides an argument in Problem 3 that is consistent with the conjecture in Problem 2 and	Meets expectations using both words and drawings to explain and show: • the	

From the Activities tile view, click on the yellow tile to access the rubric on the Revising Work screen, as shown for Grade 4, Lesson 2-6.

Teachers can use the rubric to sort student work into three groups: work that clearly meets expectations based on the description in the rubric, work that does not meet expectations, and work that teachers are undecided about. For guidance in using the rubric to sort student work, teachers can refer to the evaluated sample student work in the *Teacher's Lesson Guide* and the online work samples. The following questions should be kept in mind while sorting student work and preparing for the reengagement discussion:

- Do most papers show evidence that students can correctly solve the problem and meet expectations for the GMP? Are there common strengths and areas of understanding?
- Are there common misconceptions about the content or GMP that can be addressed in the reengagement discussion? Are there common deficiencies in students' strategies, explanations, and justifications?

For more information on using rubrics, see Section 9.4.1 *Rubrics for Evaluating Mathematical Practices.* **Note** See Grade 3 Lesson 3-2, Grade 4 Lesson 4-5, and Grade 5 Lesson 2-9 for information on using a student-friendly rubric in each grade level.

Note In Grade 6 on Day 2, the class generates "features of a successful paper," a list to focus the reengagement discussion and to support students as they revise their work.

Using Student-Friendly Rubrics

Student-friendly rubrics offer another approach for organizing a reengagement discussion. In Grades 3–5, one Open Response and Reengagement lesson includes an example of a student-friendly rubric and a description of how it can be used in a reengagement. These rubrics use language that is easily understood by students to describe criteria for meeting expectations on key practices or content in the problem. By clearly defining specific performance goals, student-friendly rubrics provide a structure that students can use to analyze their peers' work.

		on 3-2	Less	Rubric for	
TIME	DATE		NAME	Estimating Costs	
precisely	arly and p	ninking cle	matical t	Goal: Explain your mathe	
	Child 3	Child 2	Child 1	Meets Expectations	
				Shows the close-but-easier numbers used to make estimates.	
				Shows how to make an estimate of how much money the art club has left over.	
				Exceeds Expectations	
				Explains that Ann's answer of \$67 is NOT reasonable because it is not close to an estimated leftover amount of \$40.	
				because it is not close to an estimated leftover amount of \$40.	

82

Student-friendly rubric from Grade 3 Lesson 3-2

Typically, teachers select three samples of student work that represent a range of performance on the problem. After distributing the selected work, teachers use one of the samples to demonstrate that in order for work to *meet expectations*, it must clearly meet the criteria in the rubric. Students then work with partners to analyze the other two samples.

Once partnerships have completed their analysis, the class shares their findings. In the discussion, students explain how they decided whether a work sample meets or does not meet specific criteria in the rubric. In field tests, student-friendly rubrics were found to be effective in providing structure and vocabulary for students to use in analyzing and discussing their peers' work.

Using the Reengagement Planning Form

Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

The Reengagement Planning Form is a Teaching Aid Master that can assist teachers in using information from their analysis of student work and organizing the reengagement discussion. The focus content and practice standards are at the top of the form, so teachers can keep these in mind as they look for strengths and weaknesses in student performance. Teachers can then use the second section of the form to determine specific issues they want to address in the reengagement discussion. Then they can select at least one sample of student work that addresses each issue and develop questions for discussion. Work samples might include:

- clear examples of well-executed solutions or misconceptions that can generate discussion of the key mathematical ideas or the focus GMP addressed in the problem;
- examples of a common error that can be used to discuss ways to correct the error and learn from it; and
- interesting or unique solutions that show different strategies or tools for solving the problem.

Reengagement Planning Form

Common Core State Standard (CCSS):	1.0A.6	Add combinations of 10 automatically.
		Use structures to solve
Goal for Mathematical Practice (GMP):	GMP7.2	problems and answer questions.

Strengths and understandings: Most Kids understood that they must make a sum of 10.

Weaknesses and misconceptions: . That turn-around facts are not the same . Listing the same combination

Planning the Reengagement Discussion

	Issue to address	Work samples that illustrate this issue	Questions to ask about the sample student work
1	- same combinations listed more than once	1a. all comb. = 10 w repeats	What is right about this table? Why did he run out of room for listing combinations?
2	– combinations ≠ 10	2a. not all comb. equal lo-no repeats	Do these #s equal 10? — Use 10 frame
		26. does not = 10	
3	- lack of organization of #s	3a. addresses turn-around pattern	What patterns do you see?
		3b. addresses # order pathin	

Example of a Reengagement Planning Form completed by a teacher for Grade 1 Lesson 2-5

Planning a Follow-Up Discussion

Preparing discussion questions that will actively engage students in making sense of the selected student work is at the heart of reengagement. Successful reengagement discussions offer powerful opportunities for students to refine and deepen their understanding of the lesson's mathematical content and practices. Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.



Sample student work collected from field tests along with associated sample discussion questions are provided in this section of the Open Response and Reengagement lessons. These examples help teachers consider how they might engage students in the focus content and practice standards using their students' work or the sample work provided. Possible strategies for organizing the discussion include the following:

- Presenting a partially correct or incomplete response. Students make sense of others' thinking used to solve the problem and identify any good ideas they see in the solution. Then students identify any mistakes or misunderstandings in the work and suggest ways to improve it.
- **Presenting two correct and complete responses with different solution strategies.** Pairs of students compare and contrast the two solutions, then partnerships share their thinking with the class.

• Presenting a solution that clearly shows effective use of the focus GMP and one that does not. Students compare and contrast the two different solutions based on the GMP and make suggestions for revisions.

Students benefit most when reengagement discussions focus on sample work from their own class. When they are discussing their work and the work of their peers, students are more engaged because they see themselves as active participants in the learning process. An ePresentations note gives instructions for uploading and viewing student work from the classroom. The sample student work in the *Teacher's Lesson Guide* is also available online for use in discussions.

6.2.4 Day 2: Reengagement

The Focus section of Day 2 of the Open Response and Reengagement lessons consists of three parts: Setting Expectations, Reengaging in the Problem, and Revising Work.

Setting Expectations

This part of the lesson provides suggestions for teachers to briefly review the mathematics of the open response problem from Day 1, discuss the focus GMP, and establish expectations for a correct and complete solution.

Establishing Guidelines for Reengagement. To promote an environment that supports constructive class discussions, the first Open Response and Reengagement lesson in each grade describes how teachers can develop general discussion guidelines for the class to use throughout the year. Teachers can solicit suggestions from the class and include items that they feel are important. Early in the year, this list may only include a few items so as not to overwhelm students. Teachers and students can add to the list throughout the year and refer to it during any group discussion. See sample poster in the margin.

Modeling or having students role-play situations based on one or more of the guidelines on the poster can help reinforce appropriate behavior during discussions. For example, teachers may want to model how to disagree politely with a student's solution or how to learn from a mistake.

Teachers can use sentence frames when modeling and encourage students to use appropriate language as they discuss other students' work. Examples of sentence frames include:

- I noticed ______.
- I like how you _____.
- Could you explain _____?
- I disagree because _____.

Reengaging in the Problem

Teachers organize and facilitate the reengagement discussion based on the decisions they made while analyzing and selecting student work. Students analyze the presented student work and offer suggestions for revision. Because open response problems offer opportunities for multiple solution strategies, students may come up with inventive and creative paths to a solution, so teachers should be prepared to deviate from a planned discussion

Guidelines for Discussions

- During our class discussions, we can: ✓ Make mistakes and learn from
- them
- ✔ Change our minds
- ✓ Ask questions
 ✓ Listen closely to others' ideas

 \frown

For other ideas on how to facilitate discussions in a wholeclass setting, see Section 5.1 *Facilitating Discussions*.

112

- I don't understand _____.
- I wonder why _____.
- I agree because _____.
- I also think _____.

to follow these ideas as these are often the best opportunities for lively and generative discussions. However, discussion should always come back to the focus GMP.

Revising Work GRADE LEVEL K 1 2 3 4 5 6

After discussing sample work, students revise their own work from Day 1. (Note: Open Response and Reengagement lessons in Kindergarten do not always include revision of students' work.) Teachers should encourage students to use what they learned from the reenqagement discussion to make corrections or improvements to their work. At the same time, students should be reminded that the solutions presented in the reenqagement discussion are not the only possible strategies for solving the problem. Students should be encouraged to write clear and complete solutions that demonstrate their understanding of the focus GMP. Students should be encouraged to add to their work or write on a new copy rather than erase their original work. Teachers may wish to have students use colored pencils to make their revisions in order to help distinguish the original work from the revisions made after reengagement. In the Student Learning Center, the program automatically saves students' work from Day 1. When students open the Solve the Problem and Revise tile on Day 2, they will see their work. Similar to the print, students can choose a different color for the writing tool when they begin revising.

6.2.5 Evaluating Student Performance

Copyright \otimes McGraw-Hill Education. Permission is granted to reproduce for classroom use.

Each Open Response and Reengagement lesson has two sets of guidelines to evaluate students' revised work for the content and mathematical practices addressed in the problem. The **Assessment Check-In** provides expectations for meeting the content standards and points to a qualitative, task-specific rubric to evaluate students' performance on the focus GMP. While teachers may find the rubric useful for selecting student work and building a framework for the reengagement discussion, they should wait until after students have revised their work on Day 2 to assess their performance.

Sample child's work, "Meeting Expectations" ① Who is correct? 545a.n.	Association of the second statement of the statement of t
(2) Explain how you decided your answer. You may include drawings. Suban is profid- "there are 2. Flat and ten langs witch hankes a nuther flats, There are 5 tens and y ones and that olds up to 551. 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 50 4 300 354	Geomes) for optional generic rubrics in the Assessment Handbook that can be used to assess any additional GMPs addressed in the lesson. Sample Children's Work—Evaluated See the sample in the margin. This work meets expectations for the content standards by explaining in writing and showing in the drawing that ten 106 make 100. The work meets expectations for the mathematical practice because the child stated that Susan was correct and the words, drawing, and number model show evidence that he or she interpreted ten 10s as a 100. GBP2 (Geomes) for other samples of evaluated children's work.

Assessment Check-In and evaluated student work that meets expectations, based on the task-specific rubric on Grade 2 Lesson 4-6

For information on tracking progress on the GMPs in the Open Response lessons, see Section 9.4.2 Individual Profiles of Progress and Class Checklists.

For information on the Open Response Assessments, see Section 9.3.2.



Section 6

Click on the Activity Tile to open up the Open Response Assessment in the Student Learning Center. An evaluated work sample that meets expectations for both the content and practice standards is included in the *Teacher's Lesson Guide* and Teacher Center for each Open Response and Reengagement lesson in Grades 1–6. Three additional evaluated work samples are available online. These samples represent a range of performance for the content and the practice standards. Each sample includes an explanation of the evaluation, making specific connections between the student's work and expectations for the content and GMP based on the rubric.

Teachers can use data collected during the Open Response and Reengagement lessons in a variety of ways. When teachers return revised work to students, comments and evaluation of performance based on the task-specific rubric will provide useful feedback.

6.3 Open Response Assessments

GRADE LEVEL K 1 2 3 4 5 6

In Grades 1–6, Progress Check lessons in odd-numbered units include an Open Response Assessment on Day 2. Unlike the Open Response and Reengagement lessons, these problems do not include a second-day discussion and revision. The Open Response Assessments include expectations for meeting the content standards addressed in the assessment and task-specific rubrics for evaluating performance on the focus GMP. The *Teacher's Lesson Guide* includes one sample of evaluated student work that meets expectations on the content and mathematical practice. Three additional evaluated work samples are available in the Teacher Center and the online *Assessment Handbook*. Although the Open Response Assessments do not include suggestions for a reengagement discussion, teachers should still take time to discuss the problem after students have had a chance to solve it.

References

Classroom Video Visits. (2014). Retrieved April 8, 2014, from http://www. insidemathematics.org/index.php/classroom-video-visits

Foster, D., & Poppers, A. (2009, November). *Using formative assessment to drive learning*. Retrieved from http://www.svmimac.org/images/Using_Formative_Assessment_to_Drive_Learning_Reduced.pdf

Paek, P. L., & Foster, D. (2012, April 15). *Improved mathematical teaching practices and student learning using complex performance assessment tasks.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, Vancouver, Canada.

Tools for Educators. (2014). Retrieved April 8, 2014, from http://www. insidemathematics.org/index.php/tools-for-teachers