Measuring Corequisite Success

Every course is unique! This guide is intended as a framework - not an exhaustive checklist - you can follow when evaluating and adjusting your corequisite course. We hope you find it helpful!

Want more information, or need other ideas? Connect with a peer by getting in touch with us!

Model and Logistic Evaluat	ion	
Which Corequisite Model was us	sed?	
☐ Embedded – One Cour	rse	
☐ Embedded – Two Cour	rses – One Instructor	
\square Embedded – Two Cour	rses – Two Instructors	
\square Stacked		
☐Supplemental Instruct	ion – One Instructor	
☐Supplemental Instruct	ion – Two Instructors	
☐ Other - Click or tap here	e to enter text.	
Was this model successful?		
□YES!		
\square Partially.		
□No.		
What changes need to be consider	dered?	
\square A new model		
\square Change from one instr	uctor to two instructors	
\square Change from two instr	uctors to one instructor	
□Other - Click or tap here	e to enter text.	
Are there additional scheduling i	issues that need to be addressed	d?
☐Yes - Click or tap here to	enter text.	
□No.		
Conclusions regarding the Mode Click or tap here to enter text.	el:	
Success Rate Evaluation		
If the corequisite model is ONE (COURSE, compare success rates	of the corequisite with that of
the stand-alone credit course:		
Semester/Year	Corequisite Success	Stand-Alone Credit Success

	<u> </u>		
How do the success rates compa	are?		
\square Pretty much in line.			
☐Corequisite success is	significantly	higher	
☐ Corequisite success is			
·			
If Corequisite success is significa	ntly higher,	consider the followi	ng:
 Review grading criteria for 	or the cored	quisite - Does it focus	too heavily on participation or
developmental content?			
□ Looks G		☐ Consider Change	
 Review credit content - Is 	s it compara		and-alone credit course?
□Looks G	Good!	☐ Consider Change	
If Course, light access is significan	سممامتاما بالحمد	aanaidan tha fallawi	
If Corequisite success is significa			<u> </u>
success on credit materia		eriai – is the amount	enough to prepare students for
Looks G		☐Consider Change	
 Review timing of develor 		<u> </u>	ere a need to change the
presentation of support	-	por critaterial 13 cm	ere a freed to change the
□ Looks G		☐Consider Change	
		J	
If the corequisite model is $\ensuremath{\text{TWO}}$			ork?
☐Grade for support cou	rse = Grade		
☐ Two separate grades:			cessful in credit course must
retake both the support course			
	uccessful in	credit course must r	etake both the support course
and the credit course.			
		credit course may re	take stand-alone credit course
if successful in support	τ.		
Compare success rates of the co	requisite ar	nd stand-alone credit	COURSE.
Semester/Year	-	quisite Success	Stand-Alone Credit Success
		4	
How do the success rates comes	~~?		
How do the success rates compa Pretty much in line.	arer		
•	cianificantly	highor	
☐ Corequisite success is ☐ Corequisite success is		=	
— corequisite success is	orgini icanili)	IOVVCI	

□ Looks Good! □ Consider Change Review credit content - Is it comparable to that of the stand-alone credit course? □ Looks Good! □ Consider Change If Corequisite success is significantly higher, consider the following: Review developmental support material – Is the amount enough to prepare students for success on credit material? □ Looks Good! □ Consider Change Review timing of developmental support material – Is there a need to change the presentation of support material? □ Looks Good! □ Consider Change If it is possible to successfully complete the support course and not be successful in the credit course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Students Needing to Retake Credit Needing to Retake BOTH					
If Corequisite success is significantly higher, consider the following: • Review developmental support material − Is the amount enough to prepare students for success on credit material? □Looks Good! □Consider Change • Review timing of developmental support material − Is there a need to change the presentation of support material? □Looks Good! □Consider Change If it is possible to successfully complete the support course and not be successful in the credit course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Students Needing to Students Needing to					
 Review developmental support material – Is the amount enough to prepare students for success on credit material?					
Review timing of developmental support material − Is there a need to change the presentation of support material? □ Looks Good! □ Consider Change If it is possible to successfully complete the support course and not be successful in the credit course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Students Needing to Students Needing to Students Needing to Needing					
presentation of support material? Looks Good! Consider Change If it is possible to successfully complete the support course and not be successful in the credit course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Students Needing to Students Needing to					
If it is possible to successfully complete the support course and not be successful in the credit course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Success Success Needing to Retake Credit Needing to					
course, examine the percentage of students who were successful in corequisite support but not the corequisite credit course. Semester/Year Corequisite Support Corequisite Credit % of Students % of Success Success Needing to Retake Credit Needing to					
Success Success Needing to Students Retake Credit Needing to					
☐ Corequisite Success looks better when considering students successful in the support course only!					
Conclusions regarding Success Rates: Click or tap here to enter text.					
Student Learning Outcome Evaluation					
Compare performance on Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) between the Corequisite and					
Stand-Alone Credit course, then decide if changes may be necessary to address any specific SLOs.					
Student Learning Outcome Corequisite Stand-Alone Credit Changes?					

□Looks Good!
☐ Some SLOs need improvement:
☐ Both Corequisite and Stand-Alone Credit need improvement for SLO#s Enter SLO#s
here.
Corequisite needs improvement for SLO#s Enter SLO#s here.
☐ Stand-Alone Credit needs improvement for SLO#s Enter SLO#s here.
He are Challes to Charles and
How are Student Learning Outcomes measured?
☐ Assignments/Quizzes/Tests throughout the course ☐ Final Exam
—·······
Other - Click or tap here to enter text.
For the SLOs needing improvement, consider the following:
 Review the questions assessing the SLO – Do they adequately capture the SLO?
□ Looks Good! □ Consider Change
 Review the questions assessing the SLO – Do they match the questions assessing the
SLO throughout the course?
□Looks Good! □Consider Change
 Review the wording of questions assessing the SLO – Does it match the wording of
questions addressing the SLO throughout the course?
☐ Looks Good! ☐ Consider Change
 Review the rigor of questions assessing the SLO – Does it match the rigor of questions
addressing the SLO throughout the course?
☐ Looks Good! ☐ Consider Change
Conclusions regarding Student Learning Outcomes:
Click or tap here to enter text.
Support Contant Evaluation
Support Content Evaluation De Support Content Evaluation
Do Success Rates indicate a need for evaluation of support content? ☐ Yes! ☐ No.
Do Student Learning Outcomes indicate a need for evaluation of support content?
Yes!
Do faculty feel there is a need for evaluation of support content?
□Yes! □No.
= Te3. = 110.
If there is a need for evaluation of support content, what areas will be considered?
☐ Additional support content
☐ Removal of some support content
☐ Mapping of support content

☐ Support content is currently frontloaded and should be just-in-time ☐ Support content is currently just-in-time and should be frontloaded ☐ Support content needs to be better aligned with credit content
Conclusions regarding Student Learning Outcomes:
Click or tap here to enter text.